

KINGS LAW REPORTS (ALL SC)

VOLUME 9 1994 PART 21

3RD JUNE 1994

Chief Editor
O.O. Noel Esq. (Barrister & Solicitor)

DEDICATED TO:

The King of kings

All lovers of Justice

All that thirst after current knowledge of the Law.

ii **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED IN (1994) 9 KLR (PT. 21)**

1. Alhaji Lawani Atoyebi Another v. The Governor of Oyo State & 2 Others	P. 1
2. Marcus Nwoke & Others v. Ahiwe Okere & Others	P. 25
3. Emmaneui N. Nwaebonyi v. The State	P. 43
4. The State v. Joseph Nnolim & Another	P. 61
5. Musa Umaru Kasa v. The State	P. 84

SUPREME COURT JUSTICES

Hon. Justice Mohammed Bello CJN
Hon. Justice Muhammadu Lawal Uwais
Hon. Justice Salihu Modibbo Alfa Belgore
Hon. Justice Abubakar Bashir Wali
Hon. Justice Olajide Olatavvura
Hon. Justice Idris Legbo Kutigi
Hon. Justice Michael Ekundayo Ogundare
Hon. Justice Emmanuel Obioma Ogwuegbu
Hon. Justice Uthman Mohammed
Hon. Justice Sylvester Umaru Onu
Hon. Justice Yekini Olayiwola Adio
Hon. Justice Anthony Ikechukwu Iguh

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Murder - lower courts' acceptance of the truth of the confessional statement - Leading to concurrent conviction of appellant - Whether justified. *Nwaebonyi v. The State*. P.43

APPEALS - Briefs of appeal - Failure to file brief of argument - By the 1st accused before the Court of Appeal - Whether that court can treat the appeal as having been argued and acquit the accused. *The State v. Nnolim*. P. 61

APPEALS - Finding of facts - Not to be easily disturbed by appellate court - Propriety of questioning the trial court's findings - for being perverse or not supportable by evidence. *Nwoke v. Okere*. P. 25

APPEALS - Reversal of trial court's findings of fact - Where the findings were not shown to be perverse - Whether the Court to Appeal was right in reversing the findings. *The State v. Nnolim*. P. 61

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Chieftaincy matters - Where there is nothing perverse, illegal or irregular in the two lower courts' findings of fact -Whether the Supreme Court will interfere. *Atoyebi v. Gov. of Oyo State*. P. 1

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Superiority - Question as to which out of two chieftaincy titles is Superior - When government reaction and past legislation are useful in determining the dispute. *Atoyebi v. Gov. of Oyo State*. P. 1

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS -Traditional history-Claim of Superiority of one chieftaincy against the other - Rejection of Plaintiffs' version of the traditional history - Proper determination of the overlord Chief out of the contestants. *Atoyebi v. Gov of Oyo State*. P. 1

COURTS - Receiving of stolen property - If Court is in doubt about accused person's guilty knowledge - Accused will be discharged and acquitted. *The State v. Nnolim*. P. 61

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Stealing and receiving stolen property -

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1994) 9 KLR (PT. 21)**

Evidence that the property was stolen - And that the receiver knew it to be stolen - Must be presented in proof of the offences. *The State v. Nnolim*. P. 61

5 CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Statement of accused - Admitted in evidence - Where accused did not testify and the statement is not confessional - Whether the contents can be treated as proved. *Kasa v. The State*. P. 84

10 CRIMINAL LAW - Possession of stolen property - Failure of accused persons to give a true account of how they came into possession - Whether conviction was proper. *The State v. Nnolim*. P. 61

15 CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Vital witness - Where evidence of a witness could not have determined the case - Whether failure to call that witness was fatal to prosecution's case. *The State v. Nnolim*. P. 61

20 CRIMINAL LAW - Stealing - Disappearance of complainant's video recorder after his house was burgled - Reappearance of the video in 1st Respondent's shop - Whether the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the video was stolen. *The State v. Nnolim*. P. 61

25 CRIMINAL LAW - Murder - Conviction of appellant based on his confessional statement - Whether proper - Whether persecution proved its case beyond reasonable doubt.. *Nwaebonyi v. The State*. P.43

30 CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Retraction of confessional statement - Murder - Where there is evidence linking appellant to the crime - admissibility and credibility of the retracted confession will not be affected. *Nwaebonyi v. The State*. P.43

35 CULPABLE HOMICIDE - Conviction of the two accused persons - Acquittal of 2nd accused by the Court of Appeal - Appellant's conviction based on his confessional statements and available circumstantial evidence - Whether sustainable. *Kasa v. The State*. P. 84

EVIDENCE - Statement of an accused Exh. A1 - Though not confes-

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1994) 9 KLR (PT. 21)

v

sional under s. 27 of the Evidence Act - Deemed admissible on grounds of relevancy - Contents thereof cannot be considered to be true - Without accused giving evidence to that effect. *Kasa v. The State*. P. 84

EVIDENCE - Corroboration - Threat to kill the deceased - Held to be a corroboration to some extent of Appellant's confessional statements. *Kasa v. The State*. P. 84 5

EVIDENCE - Motive and opportunity - Murder - Where available evidence show appellant had motive and opportunity to commit the crime - And appellant is connected to the commission of the offence - Conviction will not be quashed. *Nwaebonyi v. The State*. P.43 10

EVIDENCE - Confessional statements - SS. 6 and 7 of the Evidence Act - Appellant's statements in Exhibits B1 and C1 - Whether they are confessional. *Kasa v. The State*. P. 84 15

EVIDENCE - Recent possession of stolen property - Explanation by accused of his possession which might reasonably be true - Would displace the presumption under S. 148(a) of the Evidence act. *The State v. Nnolim*. P.61 20

EVIDENCE - Blood stained clothe Exh. H - Where there was no forensic report to confirm that the blood was that of the deceased - Whether the exhibit proved anything that will be considered corroborative. *Kasa v. The State*. P. 84 25

EVIDENCE - Recent possession of stolen property - S.148 (a) of the Evidence Act - Where the provision is applicable - Burden shifts to accused persons to explain how they came into possession. *The State v. Nnolim*. P.61 30

EVIDENCE - Identification of product - Where stolen property is found to belong to the complainant - Whether Court of Appeal was right in preferring evidence of PW3 to the contrary. *The State v. Nnolim*. P. 61 35

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1994) 9 KLR (PT. 21)**

EVIDENCE - Voluntariness of confessional statement - Murder - Whether there is evidence in proof of the voluntariness of the confession. Nwaebonyi v. The State. P.43

5 LAND LAW - Survey plan - Declaration of title - Whether plaintiffs' survey plan showed a well defined land mass - To which a declaration could be attached. Nwoke v. Okere. P.25

10 LAND LAW - Title - Plaintiffs' claim for declaration of title, trespass and injunction - Dismissed by trial court - When the two appellate courts will intervene in granting plaintiffs' claims. Nwoke v. Okere. P.25

15 PLEADINGS - Features on survey plan - Tendered by the plaintiffs - Where not put in issue on the pleadings - Whether question of proving the features will arise. Nwoke v. Okere. P.25

20 PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Wrongful dismissal of plaintiffs' case by trial court - On ground of inconclusive evidence of boundary - Whether Court of Appeal rightly held that plaintiffs proved their title. Nwoke v. Okere. P.25

25

30

35

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Chiefs Law (Oyo State Laws 1978 Cap. 21)ss. 3, 26. Atoyebi v. Gov. of Oyo State P. 1.

Criminal Code s. 319(1) Nwaebonyi v. The State P. 43; 495(a), 398(a), 353 (a). The State v. Nnolim P.61 5

Criminal Procedure (Statement to Police Officers) Rules, Cap 30 Laws of Northern Nigeria, 1963. rr. 3 & 4. Kasa v. The State. P.84.

Evidence Act ss. 27, 137, 9(1). Nwaebonyi v. The State. P. 43; s. 148(d). Atoyebi v. Gov. of Oyo State. P. 1; ss. 148(a), 137. The State v. Nnolim. P. 61; 27, 6. Kasa v. The State. P. 84 10

Penal Code ss. 221, 79, 80. Kasa v. The State. P. 84 15

Western Region Local Government Law 1952 No. 1 of 1953 (W.R.L.N. 146 of 1954) S.3. Atoyebi v. Gov. of Oyo State. P. 1.

20

25

30

35